

Appendix 8B: RUBRIC FOR CASE STUDY EVALUATION

EXCELLENT (A)	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	Weak	Score
<u>CRITERIA</u>				
<u>Background</u> (5 points) Concise summary: background, significance of case study	(4 points) Generally well-written summary except for shortcomings in one or two areas	(3-2 points) Vague/imprecise summary; some findings and keywords omitted; insufficient attention to word limit.	(1-0 points) Very inadequate/imprecise summary; no keywords; text beyond the word limit.	
<u>Development objectives</u> (10-8 points) Clearly defines development objective for the target group which the ICT approach addresses, provides the justification for the initiative	(7-6 points) Defines development objective; shows significance of approach; provides limited justification for approach, and relevance to needs of target group	(5-4 points) /Some material not relevant/unconvincing in showing the significance of the work; background material sketchy.	(3-0 points) S significance of research/study not shown; vague description of background e.g. no connection of literature to research.	
<u>Innovativeness</u> (10-8 points) Initiative is very innovate, tests appropriate technology and approaches, to meet the problem and demonstrates awareness of best practices	(7-6 points) Initiative is innovative and well thought out, minimally incorporates best instructional practices and/or employment of technology.	(5-4 points) Initiative shows limited innovativeness, is not well thought out, and/or implements solutions without any adaptation to local circumstances	(3-1 points) Initiative lacks innovativeness,	
<u>Lessons learned/challenges</u> (10 – 8 points) The challenges and lessons learned are clearly stated, and are linked to the development objectives. The solutions which are proposed are well thought out.	7-6 points The challenges and lessons learned are stated, and solutions are proposed. Some linkage to the development objectives	5-4 points The challenges and lessons learned are stated, and solutions are proposed. Some linkage to the development objectives	3-1 point There is no linkage of the challenges or lessons learned to the	
<u>Future Directions/Recommendations</u> (10-8 points) Recommendations are feasible, and clearly stated, critical analysis; new insights; strong comparison of results with similar initiatives; clear statement of relevance to the region's implementation	(7-6 points) Good organization of thoughts; adequate discussion of almost all aspects; relevance of the initiative is implied	(5-4 points) Recommendations are not clearly stated, no linkage shown to the meeting of the development objective	(3-1 points) Not very well organized; analysis bears little relevance to results; some/ most interpretations flawed, little/ no reference to similar initiatives	
<u>Style & presentation</u> (5 points) Paragraph structure; correct use of terms/ diction; grammar, spelling, punctuation; sentence variety, clarity	(4 points) Errors in one area only	(3-2 points) Errors in two/three areas only	(1-0 point) Errors in more than 3 areas	